SEP 30 1997

By: C. LUNT, Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

Coordination Proceeding
Special Title (Rule 1550(b))

IN RE COORDINATED LATEX
GLOVE CASES

RE: DISCOVERY

I. Discovery Overview

A. Intention of Court

The Court intends the discovery in this coordinated proceeding to proceed in an orderly and expeditious fashion. Unnecessary costs and duplication of discovery are to be avoided. Initial discovery will be in the form of questionnaires designed to obtain basic background information on all parties to the coordinated cases.

B. Protective Order Governing Confidentiality

This litigation could well require the production of millions of documents, some of which may contain trade secret material. Since many of the defendants herein, as well as plaintiff's counsel are involved in the MDL proceedings in which a protective order was entered, in order to avoid unnecessary duplication of effort, much of the MDL protective order has been adopted for use in this proceeding. Said order is filed herewith as Case Management Order No. 3. All parties in this Coordination proceeding are bound by this protective order.

C. Cooperation

Counsel will cooperate with each other and exercise civility in all aspects of this litigation. Unless contrary to an order of the Court, the affected parties (and when appropriate, a nonparty witness) may stipulate, in writing, to alter, amend or modify any practice relating to: 1) noticing or conducting a deposition; 2) dates or manner of production of documents, interrogatories, or requests for admission. Stipulations for the extension of discovery cutoffs and deadlines are not valid without prior court approval.

II. Initial Discovery

In order to ensure that all parties to this coordinated proceeding timely obtain the requisite background information from which they can prepare more focussed discovery, liaison counsel for plaintiffs and for defendants, respectively, will provide the Court draft questionnaires, on or before September 18, 1997. It is intended that when finalized plaintiff's questionnaire will be served on all defendants and visa versa. A meeting of the Court with

liaison counsel will be held on October 2, 1997 at 1:30 p.m. to finalize the questionnaires. It is the intent of the Court that each party to this coordinated proceeding shall fully complete a questionnaire. This questionnaire shall be distributed to all present and future parties to this coordinated proceeding. Thereafter any new party to this coordinated proceeding shall prepare and file the appropriate questionnaire no later than 60 days after making its initial general appearance in a case in this coordinated proceeding.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: SEP 3 0 1997

Judge of the Superior Court

Superior Court of California
County of San Biego

CCORDINATION PROCEEDING SPECIAL TITLE (RULE 1550(b))

SESSENTANCES
IN RE COORDINATED LATEX GLOVE CASES

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL (CCP 1013(4))

COURT USE ONLY

COURT USE ONLY

COURT USE ONLY

COURT USE ONLY

CASE NUMBER
JCCP 4003

I, KENNETH E. MARTONE, Clerk of the Superior Court of the State of California, for the County of San Diego, do hereby certify that: I am not a party to the cause referred to herein; On the date shown below, I placed a true copy of:

CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. 3

CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. 2 RE: DISCOVERY

SERVED VIA FAX

THE CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF

🖾 San Diego, California 🔲 Vista, California 🗎 El Cajon, California

NAME

LIAISON COUNSEL

<u>ADDRESS</u>

JAMES A. SLOMINSKI, ESQ. HORNBERGER, GHAZARIANS & BREWER FAX #(213) 488-1255

444 S. FLOWER STREET, 31st FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90071

STEVEN KAZAN, ESQ. KAZAN, MCCLAIN, EDISES, SIMON & ABRAMS 171 12th STREET, 3rd FLOOR OAKLAND, CA 94607

FAX #(510)835-4913

ROBERT M. MITCHELL, ESQ. SEYFARTH, SHAW, FAIRWEATHER & GERALDSON

101 CALIFORNIA STREET, STE 2900 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-5858

FAX #(510) 835-4913

KENNETH E. MARTONE

Clerk of the Superior Court

CRYSTAL LUNT

Dated: OCTOBER 1, 1997